Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/792,364	SHAFFER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Harry S. Hong	2614
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>allowed</u>	
(1) Harry S. Hong.	(3)	
(2) <u>Luke K. Pedersen (Reg. No. 45,003)</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 21 February 2008	Time: <u>3:00 PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: 1, 16, 30, 40, 50, 54, 57, and 58		
Prior art documents discussed: Griffith et al. (US 6,272,347 B1)		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: In order to more distinctly claim the invention, Mr. Pedersen agreed to clarify the languages of claims 1, 16, 30, 40, 50, 54, 57, and 58. Refer to the attached Examiner's Amendment.		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applican	t/Applicant's Representative S	ignature - if appropriate)